

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
2 ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065
rvannest@kvn.com
3 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325
canderson@kvn.com
4 DANIEL PURCELL - #191424
dpurcell@kvn.com
5 633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: 415.391.5400
Facsimile: 415.397.7188

1 KING & SPALDING LLP
2 DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279
fzimmer@kslaw.com
3 CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323
csabnis@kslaw.com
101 Second St., Suite 2300
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 415.318.1200
Fax: 415.318.1300

7 KING & SPALDING LLP
8 SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
sweingaertner@kslaw.com
9 ROBERT F. PERRY
rperry@kslaw.com
10 BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
1185 Avenue of the Americas
11 New York, NY 10036
Tel: 212.556.2100
12 Fax: 212.556.2222

IAN C. BALLON - #141819
ballon@gtlaw.com
HEATHER MEEKER - #172148
meekerh@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1900 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Tel: 650.328.8500
Fax: 650.328-8508

13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 GOOGLE INC.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18
19 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

20 v.
21

22 GOOGLE INC.,

23 Defendant.
24

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA

25
26
27
28
**DECLARATION OF REID MULLEN IN
SUPPORT OF ORACLE AMERICA,
INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL (DKT.
NO. 557)**

Judge: Hon. William Alsup

Date Comp. Filed: October 27, 2010

1 I, Reid Mullen, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an associate in the law firm of Keker & Van Nest LLP, counsel to Google
3 Inc. ("Google") in the present case. I submit this declaration in support of Oracle America Inc.'s
4 ("Oracle") Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Oracle's Motion to Exclude
5 Portions of the Expert Reports of Gregory K. Leonard and Alan J. Cox (Dkt. No. 557). I have
6 knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called to testify as a witness thereto could do so
7 competently under oath.

8 2. Oracle moves to file under seal the following documents:

- 9 • Exhibits 1, 2, and 4 through 9 of the Declaration of Meredith Dearborn in Support
10 of Oracle's Motion to Exclude Portions of the Expert Reports of Gregory K.
Leonard and Alan J. Cox (Dkt. No. 559) ("Dearborn Decl.")
- 11 • Portions of Oracle's Motion to Exclude Portions of the Expert Reports of Gregory
12 K. Leonard and Alan J. Cox (Dkt. No. 557) ("Motion to Exclude")

13 3. Exhibit 1 to the Dearborn Decl. (Dkt. 559) is the complete Expert Report of Dr.
14 Gregory K. Leonard. Dr. Leonard's report contains information that has been designated
15 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY pursuant to the stipulated
16 protective order in this case. The report and the underlying documents contain Google's
17 sensitive, non-public financial data, such as costs, revenues, and profits associated with Android.
18 The report and underlying documents also contain non-public information about Google's
19 consideration of and potential financial impact from alternatives to the intellectual property at
20 issue in this lawsuit. Additionally, Dr. Leonard's report contains non-public information about
21 Google's licensing arrangements with third-parties, which are protected by confidentiality
22 clauses with those third-parties. Google does not make this information available to the public.
23 Public disclosure of this confidential information would cause great and undue harm to Google,
24 and place it at a competitive disadvantage.

25 4. Exhibit 2 to the Dearborn Decl. (Dkt. 559) is the complete Expert Report of Dr.
26 Alan J. Cox. Dr. Cox's report contains information that has been designated HIGHLY
27 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY pursuant to the stipulated protective order in
28 this case. The report and the underlying documents contain Google's sensitive, non-public

1 financial data, such as costs, revenues, and profits associated with Android. Dr. Cox's report
2 also contains non-public information about Google's consideration of and potential impact from
3 alternatives to the intellectual property at issue in this lawsuit. Google does not make this
4 information available to the public. Public disclosure of this confidential information would
5 cause great and undue harm to Google, and place it at a competitive disadvantage.

6 5. Google does not request sealing of Exhibits 4 through 9 of the Dearborn Decl.
7 Nor does Google request sealing of the redacted portions of Oracle's Motion to Exclude.
8

9 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this
10 declaration was executed at San Francisco, California on October 28, 2011.
11

12 By: /s/ Reid Mullen
13 REID MULLEN
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28